



For Office Use only:			
Date			
Ref			

Core Strategy Development Plan Document

Regulation 20 of the Town & Country (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012.

Publication Draft - Representation Form

PART A: PERSONAL DETAILS

** If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation in box 1 below but complete the full contact details of the agent in box 2.*

	1. YOUR DETAILS*	2. AGENT DETAILS (if applicable)
Title	Dr	
First Name	[REDACTED]	
Last Name	Barron	
Job Title <small>(where relevant)</small>		
Organisation <small>(where relevant)</small>		
Address Line 1	[REDACTED]	
Line 2	[REDACTED]	
Line 3	Ilkley	
Line 4	West Yorkshire	
Post Code	LS29 [REDACTED]	
Telephone Number	[REDACTED]	
Email Address	[REDACTED]	
Signature:	<input type="text"/>	Date: <input type="text"/>

Personal Details & Data Protection Act 1998

Regulation 22 of the Town & Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012 requires all representations received to be submitted to the Secretary of State. By completing this form you are giving your consent to the processing of personal data by the City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council and that any information received by the Council, including personal data may be put into the public domain, including on the Council's website. From the details above for you and your agent (if applicable) the Council will only publish your title, last name, organisation (if relevant) and town name or post code district. Please note that the Council cannot accept any anonymous comments.

For Office Use only:			
Date			
Ref			

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section	ALL	Paragraph		Policy	
---------	-----	-----------	--	--------	--

4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes		No	NO
4 (2). Sound	Yes		No	NO
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes		No	NO

5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

I disagree with all the plans to develop areas of greenbelt in Ilkley as it conflicts with the National Planning Policy which aims to conserve greenbelt areas and the valuable farming land they contain .

Ilkley is already overcrowded and schools are at bursting point the number of houses proposed does not contain any plans for expansion of schools . indeed the proposed new Grammar school was turned down.

There is no employment in Ilkley so any new residents will undoubtedly be commuting to Leeds or Bradford and the already busy A65 will be even more congested. Peak time trains are also over subscribed and station car parks overflowing into the towns streets .

Surly brownfield sites nearer to Bradford should be considered before thinking about using Greenbelt land.

Houses built in Ilkley will be very expensive and out of reach of the majority of people

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at question 5 above where this relates to the soundness. (N.B Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage. Please be as precise as possible.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt when considering to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

9. Signature:	<div style="background-color: black; width: 100%; height: 20px;"></div>	Date:	28/3/2014
---------------	---	-------	-----------

Core Strategy Development Plan Document (DPD) : Publication Draft

